

DOI: [10.22620/agrisci.2025.46.008](https://doi.org/10.22620/agrisci.2025.46.008)

Impact of yield standardization on leaf gas exchange of dessert grape cultivars

Neli Keranova^{1*}, Duschko Nedelkovski², Angel Ivanov³, Venelin Roychev¹

¹Agriculture University-Plovdiv, Bulgaria

²Institute of agriculture – Skopje, N. Macedonia

³Trakia University-Stara Zagora, Bulgaria

*Corresponding author: nelikeranova@abv.bg

Abstract

A study was carried out to determine the impact of yield standardization in the dessert grape cultivars Victoria, Palieri, Matilda and Bolgar on their leaf gas exchange parameters. Divergent impact of yield standardization on the leaf gas exchange parameters - transpiration and stomatal conductance was found. For these traits, there were no statistically proven differences between the different variants. There was specificity regarding the impact of the cultivars studied and yield standardization on leaf gas exchange parameters. The highest values of transpiration intensity were observed in Victoria, Palieri and Bolgar, of stomatal conductance - in Victoria and Bolgar. No comprehensive effect of standardization and cultivar on photosynthetic rate and A / g_s ratio was demonstrated.

Keywords: dessert grape cultivars, yield standardization, leaf gas exchange parameters, net photosynthetic rate, transpiration intensity, stomatal conductance

INTRODUCTION

In the viticultural literature, numerous scientific works focus on the physiological characteristics of grapevine leaves during the growing season, particularly following the application of green pruning, with the aim of optimizing the balance between grape quantity and quality. Most studies examine the levels and variation of these indicators in relation to shoot length, suckers, planting density, rootstocks, training systems, green pruning, girdling, application of growth regulators, and different winter eye loads at pruning (Stoev et al., 1966; Lazić et al., 1968; Stoev, 1983; Dorokhov et al., 1986; Todorov, 1978; Roychev & Vassilev, 2003; Roychev et al., 2005). According to Stoev (1983), sugar accumulation in grapes depends not only on leaf function and photosynthetic activity, but also on processes that facilitate active synthesis, proper distribution of assimilates, and their movement into the grapes and other plant organs. The

photosynthetic rate in grapevine leaves is closely related to leaf age (Milosavljević & Radulov, 1967). The reduction of leaf mass in vines contributes to a decrease in sugar accumulation in grapes and in the fertility of winter buds (Todorov & Zankov, 1964). Varying the vine's load with shoots and bunches alters the intensity of physiological processes in the leaves and affects the overall productivity of the vine. The presence of bunches on the shoots accelerates leaf photosynthesis to some extent, as they require the organic substances produced. Overloading the vines leads to a reduction in photosynthetic activity and an increase in respiration and transpiration (Stoev, 1983).

Drought resistance in grapevine varieties is an important trait in crop selection and breeding, particularly in light of the increasingly widespread issue of water scarcity (Pagay et al., 2022). Research on plant adaptation to drought has attracted the attention of numerous authors (Sánchez-Ortiz et al., 2024; Ribalta-Pizarro et al., 2021; Soltekin & Altındışli, 2012).

The aim of this study was to determine the effect of yield standardization in dessert grapevine cultivars on leaf gas exchange parameters, which serve as indicators of the photosynthetic apparatus's tolerance to drought.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimental work was conducted in a vineyard located in the land of Brestnik village, near Plovdiv. The study included the dessert grape cultivars Victoria, Palieri, Matilda, and Bolgar. The vines of all four cultivars were grafted onto the SO4 rootstock and trained using the Stem Two-Arm Guyot formation, with a planting distance of 3.00×1.20 m. At the time of pruning at maturity, an equal load was applied to all experimental vines, consisting of six trunks with two eyes each and two fruiting canes with twelve eyes each, totaling 36 winter eyes. At the beginning of the 'berry growth' phenophase (pea size), on an equal number of shoots developed from trunks and fruiting canes, four yield standardization variants were applied through cluster thinning: V1 – no cluster standardization; V2 – 15 bunches per vine (7 on shoots from trunks and 8 on shoots from fruiting canes); V3 – 20 bunches per vine (10 on shoots from trunks and 10 on shoots from fruiting canes); V4 – 25 bunches per vine (12 on shoots from trunks and 13 on shoots from fruiting canes). Each variant included 30 vines, arranged in three replicates of 10 vines.

Leaf gas exchange, including net photosynthetic rate (A), transpiration intensity (E), and stomatal conductance (gs), was measured over three consecutive years on leaves opposite bunches from the same shoots and vines, by cultivar and variant—before cluster thinning (V1) and ten days later (V2–V4)—using a portable photosynthetic system (LCA-4, ADC, England). Measurements were taken in early and mid-July, between 10:00 and 12:00 h, at air temperatures of approximately 30–35 °C and photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) of 1300–1800 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. For each variant,

five to eight replicates were recorded for transpiration, stomatal conductance, and photosynthetic rate.

For each cultivar, a comparative evaluation was made on all studied traits by applying a one-factor analysis of variance and LSD-Test to prove statistically significant differences compared to the variant without cluster standardization. The comprehensive effects of cultivar and individual yield standardization variants on leaf gas exchange were determined using two-factor analysis of variance and Duncan's test to evaluate differences at 0.05 statistical significance. Statistical processing of the experimental data was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 26 software (Field, 2000; Landau & Everitt, 2004; Sarwono, 2017; Kafle, 2019).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the analysis conducted on the effect of cluster standardization on transpiration, stomatal conductance, leaf photosynthesis, and the A/gs ratio showed no significant differences in these traits across the different vine cultivars and study variants (Table 1). Only the Matilda cultivar exhibited a response to yield standardization with 15 bunches (V2), showing the lowest leaf transpiration rate ($2.61 \text{ mmol H}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) compared to all other variants. No statistically significant effect of standardization on the A/gs ratio was observed in any of the cultivars studied. However, in Victoria and Matilda, the highest A/gs values were recorded in variant V2, while in Palieri and Bolgar, they occurred in variant V3. The maximum value was observed in Matilda under the second standardization variant (61.38).

The combined effect of cultivar and yield standardization variants on the studied traits is presented in Table 2. According to the experimental data, a statistically significant effect was confirmed for transpiration and stomatal conductance.

Table 1. Comparative evaluation of leaf gas exchange parameters of the studied grape cultivars by variants

Cultivar	Variant	Transpiration rate mmol H ₂ O m ⁻² s ⁻¹	Stomatal conductance mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	Photosynthetic rate μmol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹	A / g _s
Victoria	V ₁	4.49	0.13	6.03	46.37
	V ₂	4.22 n.s.	0.14 n.s.	7.03 n.s.	50.21 n.s.
	V ₃	4.85 n.s.	0.17 n.s.	5.95 n.s.	35.00 n.s.
	V ₄	4.44 n.s.	0.14 n.s.	6.41 n.s.	45.79 n.s.
	Average	4.50	0.14	6.35	45.36
	P-Value	0.516	0.488	0.702	0.644
Palieri	V ₁	3.40	0.11	5.21	47.36
	V ₂	4.77 n.s.	0.15 n.s.	6.82 n.s.	45.47 n.s.
	V ₃	3.96 n.s.	0.11 n.s.	5.33 n.s.	48.45 n.s.
	V ₄	4.18 n.s.	0.12 n.s.	4.80 n.s.	40.00 n.s.
	Средно	4.08	0.12	5.54	46.17
	P-Value	0.259	0.438	0.381	0.914
Matilda	V ₁	3.46	0.11	5.75	52.27
	V ₂	2.61 *	0.08 n.s.	4.91 n.s.	61.38 n.s.
	V ₃	3.36 n.s.	0.10 n.s.	4.88 n.s.	48.8 n.s.
	V ₄	3.56 n.s.	0.11 n.s.	5.55 n.s.	50.45 n.s.
	Average	3.25	0.10	5.27	52.7
	P-Value	0.004	0.249	0.866	0.231
Bolgar	V ₁	4.68	0.16	5.19	32.44
	V ₂	4.14 n.s.	0.15 n.s.	6.71 n.s.	44.73 n.s.
	V ₃	3.97 n.s.	0.14 n.s.	6.58 n.s.	47 n.s.
	V ₄	4.55 n.s.	0.17 n.s.	6.53 n.s.	38.41 n.s.
	Average	4.33	0.16	6.25	39.06
	P-Value	0.216	0.467	0.642	0.141

Legend: * - level of statistical significance of differences 0.05; n.s.- no proven differences between variants with and without standardization

The highest, although statistically unproven, photosynthetic rates were measured in the Victoria cultivar for V₂ (7.03 μmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹), Palieri for V₂ (6.82 μmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹), and Bolgar for V₂ (6.71 μmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹). The lowest rates were recorded in Palieri for V₄ (4.80 μmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹), Matilda for V₃ (4.88 μmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹), and Matilda for V₂ (4.91 μmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹). Maximum leaf transpiration values were found in Victoria for V₃ (4.85 mmol H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹), followed by Palieri for V₂

(4.77 mmol H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹) and Bolgar for V₁ (4.68 mmol H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹). The minimum transpiration value was recorded in Matilda for V₂ (2.61 mmol H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹). Stomatal conductance was highest in Victoria for V₃ and Bolgar for V₄ (both 0.17 mol m⁻² s⁻¹), and lowest in Matilda for V₂ (0.08 mol m⁻² s⁻¹). All A/g_s ratio data showed no statistically proven differences by cultivar or variant.

Table 2. Effect of yield standardization and vine variety on leaf gas exchange parameters

Cultivar	Variant	Transpiration rate mmol H ₂ O m ⁻² s ⁻¹	Stomatal conductance mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	Photosynthetic rate μmol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹	A/g _s
Victoria	V ₁	4.49 ^{ab}	0.13 ^{abcd}	6.03	50.92
	V ₂	4.22 ^{abcd}	0.14 ^{abcd}	7.03	51.48
	V ₃	4.85 ^a	0.17 ^a	5.95	36.88
	V ₄	4.44 ^{abc}	0.14 ^{abcd}	6.41	49.04
Palieri	V ₁	3.40 ^{de}	0.11 ^{cde}	5.21	54.40
	V ₂	4.77 ^a	0.15 ^{abcd}	6.82	52.75
	V ₃	3.96 ^{abcd}	0.11 ^{cde}	5.33	55.53
	V ₄	4.18 ^{abcd}	0.12 ^{cde}	4.80	44.18
Matilda	V ₁	3.46 ^{cde}	0.11 ^{cde}	5.75	54.51
	V ₂	2.61 ^e	0.08 ^e	4.91	61.18
	V ₃	3.36 ^{de}	0.1 ^{de}	4.88	47.73
	V ₄	3.56 ^{de}	0.11 ^{cde}	5.55	49.20
Bolgar	V ₁	4.68 ^a	0.16 ^{ab}	5.19	31.66
	V ₂	4.14 ^{abcd}	0.15 ^{abcd}	6.71	44.21
	V ₃	3.97 ^{abcd}	0.14 ^{abcd}	6.58	46.88
	V ₄	4.55 ^{ab}	0.17 ^a	6.53	38.90
Average		4,04	0.13	5.85	48.09
St. Deviation		0,81	0.04	1.66	16.40
p-value		0,000	0.001	0.685	0.654

CONCLUSIONS

In the studied dessert grape cultivars—Victoria, Palieri, Matilda, and Bolgar—no statistically significant effect of yield standardization was observed on leaf gas exchange parameters, including transpiration, stomatal conductance, and the photosynthetic/stomatal conductance ratio. An exception was noted in variant 2 of the Matilda cultivar, although no statistically proven differences were found between the individual variants for the traits studied. There is cultivar-specific variation in the response of leaf functional activity traits to yield standardization. A statistically confirmed combined effect of cultivar and yield standardization on transpiration and stomatal conductance levels was established. The highest stomatal conductance values were recorded in Victoria and Bolgar, while the highest

photosynthetic rates were observed in Victoria, Palieri, and Bolgar.

REFERENCES

- Dorokhov, B., Abdurachnamov, N. & Danilov, V. (1986). Photosynthetic activity of grape plant under different bush loads. *Second Symposium on Physiology of Grapevine, Burgas, Bulgaria, 19-24 Sept. 1983*, 336–340.
- Field, A. (2000). *Discovering statistics using SPSS, SAFE*. London.
- Kafle, S. (2019). Correlation and Regression Analysis Using SPSS. *Journal of Management, Technology & Social Sciences*, 1, 125–132. <https://journal.oxfordcollege.edu.np/index.php/ojmts/article/view/14>

- Landau, S. & Everitt, B. (2004). *A handbook of statistical analyses using SPSS*. Charman & Hall/CRC, London.
- Lazić, S., Cindrić, P. & Jovanović, M. (1968). Role of different categories of leaves in formation of grape yields. 15, (7–8), 49–63.
- Milosavljevich, M. & Radulov, L. (1967). Vliyanie na vazrastta na listata pri lozata varhu intenzivnostta na fotosintezata. [Influence on the growth of the listata with plant growth intensity on the photosynthesate]. *Nauchni trudove [Scientific Works]*, XVI (2), 113–119. [in Bulgarian]
- Pagay, V., Furlan, T.S., Kidman, C.M. & Nagahatenna, D. (2022). Long-term drought adaptation of unirrigated grapevines (*Vitis vinifera* L.). *Theor. Exp. Plant Physiol.* 34, 215–225. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40626-022-00243-3>
- Ribalta-Pizarro, C., Munos, P. & Munne-Bosch, S. (2021). Tissue-specific hormonal variations in grapes of irrigated and non-irrigated grapevines (*Vitis vinifera* cv. „Merlot“) growing under Mediterranean field conditions. *Front. Plant Sci.*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.621587>
- Roytchev, V., & Vassilev, A. (2003). The effect of girdling on leaf gas exchange and potential bud crop indexes of table grape cultivars, *Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science*, 9, 229-235.
- Roytchev, V., Popov, N., & Stoeva, N. (2005). Study of the effect of some new substances with regulatory properties on the vegetative and reproductive processes in some table grape cultivars. *Viticulture and enology*, 4, 39-44.
- Sarwono, J. (2017). *Correlation and Regression: How to use in data analysis: Using IBM SPSS and Eviews*.
- Sánchez-Ortiz, A., Lampreave, M., & Mateos, M.A. (2024). Addressing Water Stress and Climate Variability in the Mediterranean: Study of Regulated Deficit Irrigation (RDI) and Non-Irrigation (NI) in Tempranillo and Cabernet Sauvignon (*Vitis vinifera* L.). *Agriculture*. 14, 129. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture14010129>
- Soltekin, O., & Altındışli, A. (2021). Influence of Deficit Irrigation on (*V. vinifera* L.) cv. Fantasy Seedless Under Mediterranean Climate: Physiological Responses, Growth, Yield and Quality. *Erwerbs-Obstbau*, 63 (Suppl 1), 101–113. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10341-021-00587-1>
- Stoev, K., Dobрева, S., Zeynalov, Y. & Abrasheva, P. (1966). K izucheniyu fotosinteticheskoy aktivnosti list'yev vinogradnoy lozy [On the study of photosynthetic activity of grapevine leaves]. *Gradinarska i lozarska nauka*, 3(1), No. 1, 95–112. [in Bulgarian]
- Stoev, K., Dobрева, S. & Zeynalov, Y. (1966). Otnosno fotosintetichnata aktivnost na razlichni po metamerno polozheniye lista pri lozata [On photosynthetic activity at different metamer positions of leaf during vine growing]. *Gradinarska i lozarska nauka*, 3(4), 501–513. [in Bulgarian]
- Stoev, K. (1983). *Vvedeniye. Fiziologiya rasteniy – osnova nauchnogo vinogradarstva. – Fiziologiya vinograda i osnovy yego vozdeleyvaniya [Introduction. Plant physiology – the basis of scientific viticulture. Physiology of grapes and the principles of its cultivation]*, 1. Bolgarskaya akademiya nauk, 19–24. [in Bulgarian]
- Stoev, K. (1983). *Fotosintez. Vliyaniye nekotorykh agrotekhnicheskikh meropriyatiy na intenzivnost' fotosinteza. – Fiziologiya vinograda i osnovy yego vozdeleyvaniya [Photosynthesis. The influence of some agrotechnical measures on the intensity of photosynthesis]*.

Physiology of grapes and the basics of its cultivation]. 1, Bolgarskaya akademiya nauk, 94–100. [in Bulgarian]

Todorov, H., Zankov, Z. (1964). Vliyanie na razmera na listnata povarhnost varhu kolichestvoto i kachestvoto na grozdeto [Influence on size on leaf surface, quantity and quality on clusters]. *Gradinarska i lozarska nauka*, Sofia, 1, 77–92. [in Bulgarian]

Todorov, H. (1978). *Nyakoi biologichni osobenosti na rastezha i plododavaneto na lozata*. [Some biological features of plant growth and fruiting of vine plant]. Hristo G. Danov, 262. [in Bulgarian]